

MATH 2040 Lecture 20 (21/11/2016)

§ Jordan canonical forms (§ 7.1 in textbook)

Question: What else can we do if NOT diagonalizable?

Schur's Lemma: Assume char. poly. splits over \mathbb{F} .

$$\Rightarrow [T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{*} \\ & \boxed{*} \\ & & \boxed{*} \\ & & & \boxed{*} \\ & & & & \boxed{*} \\ & & & & & \boxed{*} \\ & & & & & & \boxed{*} \\ & & & & & & & \boxed{*} \\ & & & & & & & & \boxed{*} \\ & & & & & & & & & \boxed{*} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{upper triangular}$$

Prototype (Non-diagonalizable / \mathbb{C})

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Ans: "canonical form(s)": Jordan \uparrow modeled on these

Theorem: $T: V \rightarrow V$ s.t. char. poly. splits / \mathbb{F} (automatic when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$)

$$\Rightarrow \exists \text{ basis } \beta \text{ for } V \text{ s.t. } [T]_{\beta} = J$$

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} \boxed{A_1} & & & & 0 \\ & \boxed{A_2} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \boxed{A_k} & \\ & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

"Jordan canonical form"

$$\boxed{A_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & & & 0 \\ & \lambda & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \lambda & \\ & 0 & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \quad \left. \vphantom{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & & & & 0 \\ & \lambda & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \lambda & \\ & 0 & & & \lambda \end{pmatrix}} \right\} m$$

"Jordan block"
cor. to λ with
size m

ASSUME: $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$ (\Rightarrow char. poly. splits)

Examples (of smaller matrices)

$n=1$: $A = (a)$

$n=2$: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $2 = 1+1$
 $= 2+0$

$n=3$: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2 & \\ & & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $3 = 1+1+1$
 $= 3+0$
 $= 2+1$
 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 2 & 1 \\ & & 2 \end{pmatrix} \dots$

$n=4$: Exercise. Write down "all" examples. $4 = 1+1+1+1$
 $= 3+1$
 $= 2+2$
 $= 2+1+1$

Goal: If $\exists \beta$ s.t. $[T]_{\beta} = \text{Jordan can. form}$
then what do the vectors in β have to satisfy?

\Rightarrow "generalized eigenvectors"

1st: We look at one Jordan block:

$$A = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}}_{m \times m \text{ Jordan block}}$$

Observation:

(1) char. poly of $A = f(t) = (-1)^m (t - \lambda)^m$

\Rightarrow only 1 eigenvalue = λ

(2) $E_\lambda = \text{span}\{\vec{e}_1\}$ $\dim = 1$

$\therefore E_\lambda = N(A - \lambda I)$

$= N\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \text{span}\{\vec{e}_1\}$

Q: \vec{e}_1 is e.vector, but what $\vec{e}_2, \dots, \vec{e}_m$?

Let $\{\vec{e}_1, \dots, \vec{e}_m\}$ std basis for \mathbb{C}^m .

$$\begin{cases} A\vec{e}_1 = \lambda\vec{e}_1 \\ A\vec{e}_2 = 1\cdot\vec{e}_1 + \lambda\vec{e}_2 \\ A\vec{e}_3 = 1\cdot\vec{e}_2 + \lambda\vec{e}_3 \\ \vdots \\ A\vec{e}_m = 1\cdot\vec{e}_{m-1} + \lambda\vec{e}_m \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} (A - \lambda I)\vec{e}_1 = \vec{0} \\ (A - \lambda I)\vec{e}_2 = \vec{e}_1 \\ (A - \lambda I)\vec{e}_3 = \vec{e}_2 \\ \vdots \\ (A - \lambda I)\vec{e}_m = \vec{e}_{m-1} \end{cases}$$

i.e. $(A - \lambda I)^i \vec{e}_i = \vec{0} \quad \forall i$

Defⁿ: $\vec{v} \in V$ is a generalized eigenvector corr. to λ , & A

if (1) $\vec{v} \neq \vec{0}$

(2) $\exists p \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $(A - \lambda I)^p \vec{v} = \vec{0}$

Note: e.vectors \Rightarrow gen. e.vectors ; p depends on \vec{v}

$p=1$

Defⁿ: $K_\lambda = \{ \vec{v} \in V : \text{gen. e.vectors corr. to } \lambda \}$

"generalized eigenspace of λ "

Remark: λ has to be an eigenvalue!

\therefore take any $\vec{v} \in K_\lambda$ $\Rightarrow \exists p \neq 0$ st. $(T - \lambda I)^p \vec{v} = \vec{0}$
the smallest integer

then $(T - \lambda I) \left[\underbrace{(T - \lambda I)^{p-1} \vec{v}}_{\neq \vec{0}} \right] = \vec{0}$
 \Rightarrow is e.vector with e.value = λ

Remember: $T: V \rightarrow V$ diagonalizable / \mathbb{F}

$$\Leftrightarrow V = E_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}$$

(not diag. $\Rightarrow V \cong E_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus E_{\lambda_k}$)

Theorem: $T: V \rightarrow V$ s.t. char. poly. splits (e.g. $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$)

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{V = K_{\lambda_1} \oplus \dots \oplus K_{\lambda_k}}$$

Lemma: (Properties of K_λ)

1) K_λ is $\underbrace{T\text{-invariant}}_{\textcircled{1}}$ subspace $\&$ $\underbrace{E_\lambda \subseteq K_\lambda}_{\textcircled{2}}$

2) If $\mu \neq \lambda$, then

$$T - \mu I \Big|_{K_\lambda} : K_\lambda \xrightarrow{\cong} K_\lambda \quad \text{1-1 \& onto. (ie. isomorphism)}$$

3) Let m = multiplicity of λ .

$$\dim K_\lambda \stackrel{(\text{=})}{\leq} m \quad \text{and} \quad K_\lambda = N((T - \lambda I)^m)$$

Proof: 1) $\textcircled{3}$ $E_\lambda \subseteq K_\lambda \quad \because$ trivial ($p=1$)

\checkmark $\textcircled{2}$ K_λ subspace:

i) $\vec{0} \in K_\lambda \quad \because$ trivial

ii) closed under \cdot : $\vec{v} \in K_\lambda \Rightarrow (T - \lambda I)^p \vec{v} = \vec{0}$
for some p

$$\Rightarrow (T - \lambda I)^p (\mu \vec{v}) = \vec{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow \mu \cdot \vec{v} \in K_\lambda$$

iii) closed under $+$:

$$\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in K_\lambda \Rightarrow (T - \lambda I)^p \vec{v} = \vec{0}, (T - \lambda I)^p \vec{w} = \vec{0}$$

$$\Rightarrow (T - \lambda I)^{p+q} (\underbrace{\vec{v} + \vec{w}}_{\in K_\lambda}) = \vec{0}$$

$\textcircled{0}$: K_λ T -invariant!

Take $\vec{v} \in K_\lambda \Rightarrow (T - \lambda I)^p \vec{v} = \vec{0}$ for some $p \geq 1$

$$(T - \lambda I)^p T \vec{v} = T \underbrace{(T - \lambda I)^p \vec{v}}_{= \vec{0}} = \vec{0}$$

$\swarrow \quad \searrow$
commutes

(2) ^(*) Remember: $E_\lambda \cap E_\mu = \{\vec{0}\}$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$.

Claim: $T - \mu I : K_\lambda \rightarrow K_\lambda$ is 1-1 (\Rightarrow onto)

Assume $\vec{0} \neq \vec{v} \in K_\lambda$ s.t.

$$(T - \mu I) \vec{v} \stackrel{(*)}{=} \vec{0} \quad (\Rightarrow \vec{v} \in E_\mu)$$

Choose smallest p s.t. $(T - \lambda I)^p \vec{v} = \vec{0}$.

$$(T - \mu I) \left((T - \lambda I)^{p-1} \vec{v} \right) \stackrel{(*)}{=} \vec{0}$$

↑ commutes ↑

$$\hookrightarrow \textcircled{1} \in E_\mu \stackrel{(*)}{\Rightarrow} = \vec{0}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \in E_\lambda$$

Contradicts the choice of p .

(3) $d = \dim K_\lambda \leq m$.

\therefore char poly. of $T|_{K_\lambda}$ divides char. poly. of T
 \downarrow (2) \downarrow " "
 $g(t) = (-1)^d (t - \lambda)^d$ $f(t) = (-1)^m (t - \lambda)^m \dots$

$$\Rightarrow d \leq m.$$

$K_\lambda = N((T - \lambda I)^m)$: " \supseteq " trivial

" \subseteq " By Cayley-Hamilton.

$$(T - \lambda I)^d = 0 \quad \text{on } K_\lambda$$

$$\Rightarrow (T - \lambda I)^m = 0 \quad \text{on } K_\lambda$$

_____ \vec{v}